Alka Starac

A DEPOSIT OF ROMAN AMPHORAE IN PULA (CROATIA) A preliminary report

During the ten-month rescue archaeological rescue project in the urban core of Pula in 2005, a deposit containing 1889 amphorae set in the drainage layer was discovered. A smaller section of the same deposit was discovered during the construction of the Austrian-Hungarian infantry barracks in 1873. Part of the deposit found in the 19th century is kept in the Archaeological Museum of Istria in Pula, while a larger part is displayed beneath the substructure of the amphitheatre. 2 The amphorae were stacked on different levels in divided building spaces, arranged in one or more tiers, one above other. Generally, the amphorae filled the whole space in each void and were set almost all entire and turned upside down. Many of them after setting up were intentionally pierced near the toe. One pair of amphorae was pierced by uniformly distributed holes in the form of a sieve before being set into the deposit: the holes were pierced by blows from a sharp object after the vessels had ceased to serve their original function. A few vessels and dolia with evenly bored holes that could serve for the temporary keeping of caught fish in the sea are known from submarine findings, but their holes were pierced in the soft clay before firing, unlike the examples from Pula.3 In the deposit was inserted a small number of previously broken amphorae, turned aperture up. Some fragments had spent some time in the sea before being used in the drainage layer; these amphorae were mainly already broken before falling overboard. Amphorae in the lowest tier were filled with soil to the shoulder, and those in upper tiers contained soil only if smashed. Mostly, they were found empty and lidless. A certain number of amphorae was plugged by the lid and a mixture containing sea gravel. Many amphorae are preserved completely or for the most part. The secondary usage of amphorae for drainage and field-levelling was an exceptionally widespread phenomenon in the second half of the 1st century BC and in the first half of the 2nd century AD in the whole northern Italy, just as in Pula. 4 By far the largest number of amphorae belongs to type Lamboglia 2 (1285 examples), and many of them are intermediate between Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6 A.5 There are also 22 ovoid amphorae and a solitary amphora of early form Dressel 2-4. In the drainage layer apart from amphorae were found numerous fragments of late Campanian pottery,6 but terra sigillata is absent. Considering data regarding the foundation of the colony of Pola and the contents of the intact drainage layer which is sealed above by an original floor, it is possible to conclude that amphorae were set in position in the interlude of the third quarter of the 1st century BC. This homogeneous group was installed immediately after the foundation of the Roman colony of *Pola* (46–45 BC). Research in 2005 showed that the deposit was set in place during the construction of the public baths. The precise position of the public baths was previously unknown. A group of about hundred amphorae discovered in 1873 can be joined to the group of amphorae excavated 2005, so their total number in the foundations of the baths amounts to about 2000. The total number of amphorae registered in 2005 is 1889. This includes 1308 amphorae that were excavated, taken out and described in detail; the remaining 581 amphorae, buried upside down and identified just by the bottom of body, were not taken or moved from

¹ GNIRS 1904, 215–232.

² Ibid. 225; A. STARAC, Morfologija sjevernojadranskih amfora: primjeri iz Istre. Diadora 16–17, 1994–95, 135–162; Id., Produzione e distribuzione delle anfore nord-adriatiche nell'Istria. RCRF Acta 37, 2001, 269–277.

P. A. GIANFROTTA, Archeologia subacquea e testimonianze di pesca. Mél. École Française Rome 111, 1999, 9–36; 22–23 fig. 11–13; I. RADIĆ ROSSI, Doprinos podmorske arheologije proučavanju problematike naseljavanja. Histria Antiqua 11 (Pula 2003) 299–308; 302.

A. Starac/R. Matijašić, Skupni nalaz amfora u Puli 1991. godine. Diadora 13, 1991, 77–102; A. Starac, Pula – rezultati nekih sondažnih istraživanja. Histria Arch. 28, 1997, 152–230; Pesavento Mattioli 1992; G. Bandelli, Le bonifiche con anfore: un problema di storia economica. Quad. Arch. Veneto 15, 1999, 184–185; M.-B.Carre, Il contributo dei depositi alla conoscenza della tipologia delle anfore. Quad. Arch. Veneto 15, 1999, 186–187; D. Manacorda, «Anfore a testa in giù – anfore a testa in su». Quad. Arch. Veneto 15, 1999, 187–189.

B. Bruno, Aspetti di storia economica della Cisalpina romana. Le anfore di tipo Lamb. 2 rinvenute in Lombardia (Roma 1995) 69-75; R. Auriemma, Salentum a salo. Forma maris antiqui II (Lecce 2004) 159-160 fig 22.

⁽Lecce 2004) 159–160 fig. 22.

Forme Lamboglia 5, 6, 8, 2nd–1st centuries BC: N. Lamboglia, Per una classificazione preliminare della ceramica campana. Atti del primo Congresso Internazionale di Studi Liguri (Bordighera 1952) 139–206; P. Piana, La ceramica campana della necropoli di s. Bernardo di Ornavasso. Riv. Stud. Liguri 35, 1969, 122–142: 127: 141.

A. Fraschetti, La «Pietas» di Cesare e la colonia di Pola. Arch. Storia Ant. 5, 1983, 77–102, 99; A. Starac, Rimsko vladanje u Histriji i Liburniji, Društveno i pravno uređenje prema literarnoj, natpisnoj i arheološkoj građi I. Histrija. Monogr. Kat. 10/I, Arheološki muzej Istre (Pula 1999) 133–135.

Their location was looked for in the vicinity of the cathedral: A. GNIRS, Forschungen in Pola und in der Polesana. Jahresh. Österr. Arch. Inst. 17, 1914, 161–184; or on the northern edge of the forum: G. FISCHER, Das römische Pola. Eine archäologische Stadtgeschichte. Bayer. Akad. Wiss., Phil.-hist. Kl. 110 (München 1996) 73.

their original positions. Judging by the clay, and the size and shape of the visible part of the body, undoubtedly almost all belong to type Lamboglia 2, and a small number possibly to the group of ovoid amphorae (Ante 6 B). Thirteen per cent of the amphorae that were lifted carry stamps (171 out of 1308), in other words 9% of the total of amphorae registered in 2005 (171/1889). Graffiti and tituli picti have been noted also. Overall, 101 different stamps are represented. In the majority of cases, the names on the stamps are of the Greek origin, but written in Latin characters. They represent officinatores of servile rank. In the Pula deposit there is no example of an amphora bearing two different stamps that would show unequivocally the relationship between the owner of a workshop and the subordinate workshop manager. The names of the following owners can be related to Lamboglia 2 from the Pula deposit: L. Publicius Malleolus, amongst whose slaves is known Diphilus;10 L. Salvius, whose headquarters of production are situated in Cesano di Senigallia, 11 maybe also C. Vehilius, owner of slaves named Leontiscus, Menecrates, Apollo(), Damas and Menopilus. 12 As attested in numerous cases, the name of the owner appears in the genitive, and the name of the craftsman or workshop manager in the nominative. 13 Most of the stamps from the Pula deposit bear names of craftsmen in the abbreviated form, but certain names are written in the full nominative: DIONYSIOS, DIPHILV[S], LICINVS, PHILIP(P)VS. Owners of workshops are represented by names in the genitive: MITRAE, SALVI and ZOILI.

The majority of the names, presumably abbreviated, could be determined as of Greek origin: Agat(h)o(cles), Alex(andros), Antioc(hus), Apollo(-nidas, -nides, -ios), Arte(midorus?), Asclep(ios), Atta(lus), Bachi(lides?), Bere(), Damas, Demet(rius), Diogen(es), Dion, Dionysius, Diphilus, Euripid(es), Herac(lides), Leon(tiscus), Meno(philus), Metrob(ius), Niceph(orus), Nicia(s), Nic(-andros, -asos, olaos, -on...), One(simus), Philip(p)us, Rod(-ios, -on, -os), Soticu(s), Timoth(eos), Zeno(-dotus, -n), Zoil(us). 14

The names Absalomas and Mithras are of oriental origin, and the names *Epicad(us)*, *Mahes, Sabina(eus)*, *Sex(tus)*, and Trit(us) have parallels in Venetian-Histrian and Illyrian onomastics. 15 The names Delicatus, Licinus and Salvius are of the Latin origin: Salvius is the only family name, while the other names are personal (cognomina).16 In many cases, names were shortened so the declension can be only assumed. Besides the question of declension, we meet another problem in the reconstruction: there is a possibility of the application of different suffixes, through the utilisation of different variants in transcribing the Greek, Oriental or Illyrian names, in other words through the transfer of these names into the Latin language and characters. This is clear from the stamps: suffixes of the Greek names DIONYSIOS and NICIA(S) rely on the source, while the Greek names DIPHILV[S] and PHILIP(P)VS have the Latin suffix -us, that points to the advanced transformation. In attempting to expand abbreviated names, we can be guided by given patterns from well-known examples of stamps and models from the existing scientific literature, insofar as the epigraphic data do not indicate a new solution. Thence originates the inequality in the choice of suffixes in -os or -us. Besides concrete epigraphic data, we do not know other criteria for the reliable choice of correct expansions of abbreviated names. Some of the names mentioned appear in different styles and on different series of amphorae: Brindisi type, Lamboglia 2 and intermediaries between Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6 A. Types Dressel 1, Greco-Italic and ovoid amphorae enter in the combination more rarely.¹⁷ It is very likely that there were several slaves who produced amphorae for different owners, carrying by chance the same or a similar name. DAMA and HERAC are examples of names that appear in the Pula deposit appear on stamps in two different graphic shapes. However, we have found different amphora forms (not necessarily different amphora types!) bearing some identical stamps made obviously by the same manufacturer: ABSAL[O]MAS, ATTA, DAMA, ELP[I]DIO, EVRIPID, HERAC, LICIN, MITRAE, NICEPH, ROD. Certain stamps could be read only with difficulty because of their bad state of preservation, while others are difficult to read because of ligatures or abbreviations, so their resolution remains an open problem.¹⁸ Although similar in important characteristics, the Lamboglia 2 amphorae mutually differ in details, chiefly in the shape of the rim. An internal subdivision of Lamboglia 2 amphorae can be established based on the shape of rim, body, toe and relative propor-

A. TONIOLO, Le anfore di Altino. Arch. Veneta 14 (Padova 1991) 21–23.

M. H. CALLENDER, Roman Amphorae (With Index of Stamps) (London 1965) nr. 1008 a; MANACORDA 1989, 454; DESY 1989, 168.

¹ Ibid. 169.

Ibid. 170; C. Zaccaria, Per una prosopografia dei personaggi menzionati sui bolli delle anfore romane dell'Italia nordorientale, Amphores romaines et histoire économique. Dix ans de recherche. Coll. École Française Rome 114, 1989, 469–488; 475, stamps DAMA, DAMAS are attributed to different owners: to C. Anini and unknown owner with epigraphic mark HOS; M.-B. Carrelv. Gaggadis-Robin/A. Hesnard/A. Tchernia, Recueil de timbres sur amphores romaines I (1987–1988). Travaux Centre Camille Jullian 16 (Aix-en-Provence 1995); V. Blanc-Bijon/M.-B.Carrel/A. Hesnard/A. Tchernia, Recueil de timbres sur amphores romaines II (1989–1990 et compléments 1987–1988). Travaux Centre Camille Jullian 20 (Aix-en-Provence 1998).

MANACORDA 1989, 445.; T. BEZECZKY, The Laecanius Amphora Stamps and the Villas of Brijuni (Wien 1998).

¹⁴ Desy 1989, 171–179.

Ibid. 179–181. Sabinaeus is a name derived from an Italic ethinic group, but which occurs also in the Veneto and Histria, while the name Sextus appears in the Latin language and amongst other peoples: see J. Untermann, Die venetischen Personennamen (Wiesbaden 1961) 164 for the Venetian names Sabinaius, Sex(tus); M. Križman, Rimska imena u Istri (Zagreb 1991) 251 for the Histrian names Sabinaeus, Sexta; H. Krahe, Die alten balkanillyrischen geographischen Namen (Heidelberg 1925) counts among "Illyrian" names Epicadus (47–49), Mahes (70); Alföldy 1969, 351–352 Epicadus; 357 Tritus.

¹⁶ I. KAJANTO, The Latin Cognomina. Comment. Humanarum Litt. 36 (Helsinki-Helsingfors 1965); Alföldy 1969, 337 Salvius.

ABSALOMAS, AGATO, ALEX, ANTIOC, APOLON, ATTA, C.DIO, DAMA, DASI, DEME, DEMETRI, DIO, DIONYSIOS, ELPIDIO, EPIC, EPICAD, HERAC, HERACLID, LEON, LEONTIS, LEONTISCVS, LICIN, LICINVS, LICI, MAHES, MENO, MENOLA, MENOPHILI, MENOPHILVS, MITRAE, NICEPH, NICEPHORI, NICI, NICIA, NICO, PHILI, PHILIP, PHILIPVS, PHILO, ROD, SALVI, L. SALVI, SEX, SOTIC, SOT, SOTICV, ZENO, ZEN.

The following, especially, remain doubtful: ABSAL[O]MAS, ART[AD?.], AT[MVIL?T.], C[AE?]LI, C[M]AC[.], D[ASI]O, METROB[.]?, VA[.]IT, [B?]AR, [D?]ABA, [D?]ANT, [LPONTI?] SABI, [L.]C[N.?], [MA?E.S]SIEN, [P?]INDAR, [P]ONT[MI?], [P?]ON[—], [.]ALAV[C?], [.]ANY, [.]ATI, [.M]F[HI.]

tions of parts. Here arises the question of the chronological duration of different shapes. A fairly reliable time limit could be to an extent reliably established for the beginning of production of the particular shape of amphora, while the cessation of use remains less certain because it depends on numerous factors. 19 Different shapes, chronologically older and younger, were used side by side throughout a long period. The use of Latin letters for Greek names on stamps occurs in the period after the Social War, when Greek towns in Italy accepted Latin or Roman citizenship. With regrad to shapes as well as stamps, the amphorae from the Pula deposit correspond to standards of the second and third quarters of the 1st century BC. The production of Lamboglia 2 amphorae came to an end during the last quarter of the 1st century BC, when they were completely replaced by the type Dressel 6 A.²⁰ The period 50–30 BC is considered as the transitional period in which occured the substitution of the older type by a new one has been carried out and in which the new form Dressel 6 A inherits specific forms of simple, not emphasized rim or rim inclined inward in the lower part. Particular stamps that connect types Lamboglia 2 and the transitional Lamboglia 2 with type Dressel 6 A in the third quarter of the 1st century BC have been identified already in previous researches.²¹ With the aim of classifying relatively older and younger versions of Lamboglia 2 amphorae, that nevertheless were in use simultaneously, the amphorae have been divided on the basis of the most obvious differences, namely the shape of rim. Many of the excavated amphorae (1308 examples in total) undoubtedly belong to type Lamboglia 2, but are damaged and lack their rims (525 examples = 40.1 %). Examples of Lamboglia 2 where thr rim is preserved are classified in the following groups: (a) Lamboglia 2 with perpendicular rim, used

 a) Lamboglia 2 with perpendicular rim, used mostly during 3–4 central decades of the 1st century BC

326 24.9%

- (b) Lamboglia 2 with rim inclined bottom outward, mostly belonging to the second quarter and middle of the 1st century BC
- quarter and middle of the 1st century BC 230 17.5% (c) Lamboglia 2 with rim inclined bottom inward 148 11.3%
- (d) Lamboglia 2 with short and not empha-
- sized rim 45 3.4%
- (e) Lamboglia 2 with concave profiled rim 11 0.8%

The last three groups are the chronologically youngest; these intermediaries between Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6 A were used relatively briefly, throughout the third quarter of the 1st century BC. Since the closed stratigraphic layer contained a number of well preserved amphorae with 101 different stamps, the deposit is especially significant for defining the production and distribution of late versions of Lamboglia 2. The deposit contains also 22 ovoid amphorae (1.6 %) and a solitary amphora Dressel 2–4 amphora (0.07 %) found in a marginal position. The repetition of stamps connected with late versions of Lamboglia 2 amphorae, in some cases to a prominent degree, is conspicuous:

ABSAL[O]MAS (2), ASCLEP (3), ATTA (3), DAMA (5), DASI / DASIO (3), ELP[I]DIO (2), EVRIPID (2), HERAC (4), LICIN / LICINVS (4), MITRAE (2), NIC\(\Psi\) (1), NICEPH (6), NICI\(\Psi\) (1), PHALLAE (4), PHILO\(\phi\) (2), PLEO (2), ROD\(\Psi\) (2) and ROD\(\phi\) (3).

Overall, the most frequent are the stamps: NICEPH (6 examples), DAMA (5), PHILIP (5), DIONYSIOS (4), HERAC (4), PHALLAE (4), ASCLEP (3), ATTA (3), NICIA (3), PHIL (3) and ROD (3).

Other stamps are repeated twice (22 different stamps), or represented by a solitary example. In total, 171 amphorae with stamps have been recorded (13 % of removed amphorae), bearing 101 different stamps. The stamps are placed mainly at the rim (154), usually in the middle between the handles (75), more rarely above one handle (46) or asymmetrically (13). A smaller number of amphorae (14 examples) is stamped on the handles, regularly the same stamp on top of both handles. Only three amphorae are stamped on the shoulder. With the exception of one ovoid amphora marked with the stamp NICIA at the rim, all the amphorae with stamps belong to the extensive group Lamboglia 2.

Stamps on Lamboglia 2 amphorae with rim sloping outwards towards the bottom:

A, AGATO, ALEX, ANTAL, ANTIM, ANTIOC, APOLON, ART[AD?.], ATTA, AT[MVIL?T.], BERE, BERENT, C[M]AC[.], DELICA, DIOGEN, DIONYSIOS, D[ASI]O, E[T?..], GAEPOR, LEON, L.VADI, [M]AHES, MATHIL, MENO, ONE, PHILI, PHILIP, [R]OD*, SABDIO, SEX, TSP, ZENO, ZOILI, [LPONTI?] SABI, [P]ONT[MI?], [.]ALAV[C?], [.]ATI, [.M]F[HI.], [.]OR[.]

Stamps on Lamboglia 2 amphorae with perpendicular rim: ABSAL[O]MAS, APOL[O], ANTIO, ARTE, BACHI, BASTO, C[AE?]LI, DAMA, DEBA, DEME, DEMET, DION, DIONYSIOS, DIONYSOS, DIPHILV[S], ELP[I]DIO, EMCA, EVRIPID, HERAC, LICIN, LICINVS, MAHE[S], METROB[.]?, MITRAE, M[..]S, M[—], NET[..], NIC, NICEPH, NICIA, P.ANT, PHILIP, [P]HILIPVS, PLEO, ROD \$, SABDA \$, SALVI, SOTIC, TIMOTH, TRIT, VA[.]IT, [D?]ANT, [L.]C[N.?], [MA?E.S]SIEN, [P?]INDAR, [P]ONT[MI?], [P?]ON[—], [.]ANI, [—]I, [..]STEN.

Stamp that appears on a Lamboglia 2 amphora and on the ovoid amphora (middle of the 1st century BC): NICIA.

Stamps that appear on Lamboglia 2 amphorae with perpendicular rim and on intermediaries between Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6 A (third quarter of the 1st century BC):

ABSAL[O]MAS, ATTA, DAMA, ELP[I]DIO, EVRIPID, HERAC, LICIN, MITRAE, NICEPH, PLEO, ROD♣.

Stamp that appears on Lamboglia 2 amphorae with rim sloping outwards towards the bottom, Lamboglia 2 with perpendicular rim and on intermediaries between Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6 A: ROD.

A. TCHERNIA, Le vin de l'Italie romaine, Bibl. Écoles Françaises d'Athènes et d'Rome 261, 1986, 309–320.

CIPRIANO/CARRE 1989, 79; PESAVENTO MATTIOLI 1992, 41.

S. PESAVENTO MATTIOLI/S. ZANINI, Per un'aggiornamento dell'epigrafia anforaria patavina: le Lamboglia 2 e le Dressel 6 A del Museo Civico Archeologico. Boll. Mus. Civico Padova 82, 1993, 23–60; 26.

ALKA STARAC

Stamps that appear on intermediaries between Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6 A only (third quarter of the 1st century BC): AR, ASCLEP, C.DIO, DASI, E[P]ICA[D], ML[—], NIC\P, NICI\P, NICO, PHALLAE, PHILO?, ROD\P, [B?]AR, [D?]ABA.

In conclusion, we emphasize that the deposit of amphorae was laid down in the third quarter of the 1st century BC, within an interval no longer than a decade. The deposit is to be dated immediately after the foundation of the Roman colony, on the occasion of the adjustment of the sloping ground in the foundation of the public baths. All the amphorae, it seems, originate from the southern or central part of

the Adriatic coast of Italy.²² The deposit contains a significant number of transitional amphorae, intermediaries between Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6 A, produced just in the period of construction of the deposit. Many of them bear stamps. Beside these contemporary versions, the deposit contains also a large amount of relatively older versions used during the second quarter and middle of the 1st century BC.

Bibliography

Alföldy 1969 G. Alföldy, Die Personennamen in der römischen Provinz Dalmatia (Heidelberg 1969). CIPRIANO/CARRE 1989 M.T.CIPRIANO/M.-B.CARRE, 1989, Production et typologie des amphores sur la côte adriatique de l'Italie. Amphores romaines et histoire économique. Dix ans de recherche. Coll. École Française Rome 114, 1989, 67-104. **DESY 1989** PH. DESY, Les timbres amphoriques de l'Apulie républicaine. Documents pour une histoire économique et sociale. BAR Internat. Ser. 554 (Oxford 1989). **GNIRS** 1904 A. GNIRS, Zur Topographie des antiken Pola. Jahrb. Kaiserl.-königl. Zentral-Komm. 2, 1904, 215–232. Manacorda 1989 D. Manacorda, Le anfore dell'Italia repubblicana: aspetti economici e sociali. Amphores romaines et histoire économique. Dix ans de recherche. Coll. École Française Rome 114, 1989, 443-467. Pesavento Mattioli 1992 S. Pesavento Mattioli, Anfore romane a Padova: ritrovamenti dalla città (Modena 1992).

²² CIPRIANO/CARRE 1989, 77 ANTIOC.

Nr.	STAMP	DIMENSIONS	POSITION	AMPHORA TYPE
1. 138 (285-P33-O4)	A STAIVIE	1,4 x 2 cm	At both handles	LAMB. 2
11 100 (200 1 00 0 1)	,,	h. lett. 1,5 cm	7 tt botti riariaros	2, 1111.2.1
2. 54 (83-P33-O4)	ABSAL[O]MAS	5,8 x 2,1 cm	Asymmetric	LAMB. 2
	Absalomas	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim perpendicular	
3. 55 (75-P33-O4)	[ABSALO]MAS	5 x 1,7 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
4 00 (4 D0 O1)	Absalomas	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim narrower below	LAMP
4. 22 (1-P2-O1)	AGATO <i>Agat(h)o()</i>	3,7 x 1,1 cm h. lett. 0,7 cm	Central Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
5. 158 (239-P33-O4)	AGATO	3,4 x 1 cm	At both handles	LAMB. 2
3. 130 (203 1 00 04)	Agat(h)o()	h. lett. 0,6 cm	7 tt both Handico	Livib. 2
6. 69 (123-P31-O4)	ALEX	4,1 x 2,1 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
, ,	Alex(andros)	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim wider below	
7. 16 (85-P35-O4)	ANTAL (L backward)	3,7 x 1,7 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
(2 2 1)	Antal()	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim wider below	
8. 49 (85-P33-O4)	ANTIM	4,9 x 1,5 cm	Asymmetric	LAMB. 2
9. 161 (P18-O1)	Antim() ANTIO	h. lett. 0,8 cm 5,5 x 1,3 cm	Rim wider below Central	LAMB. 2
9. 101 (F10-O1)	Antio(chus)	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAIVID. Z
10. 25 (9-P33-O4)	ANTIOC	5,5 x 1,3 cm	At both handles	LAMB. 2
101 20 (0 . 00 0 .)	Antioc(hus)	h. lett. 0,7 cm		
11. 115 (854-P31-O4)	APOL[L]O	2,5 x 1, 5 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
·	Apol[i]o()	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim perpendicular	
12. 130 (846-P31-O4)	[A]POL[LO?]	4,5 x 1,7 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
10. 10 (01. 500. 5.0)	ADOLON	h. lett. 0,7 cm	11 11	LAMB
13. 40 (34-P33-O4)	APOLON	3,6 x 1 cm	Handle	LAMB. 2
14. 119 (807-P31-O4)	<i>Apol(I)on()</i> AR	h. lett. 0,5 cm 3,9 x 2,1 cm	Asymmetric	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
14. 119 (607-231-04)	An	h. lett. 1,5 cm	Rim narrower below	LAIVID. 2 / DR. 6 A
15. 152 (310-P33-O4)	ART[AD?.]	5,6 x 2 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
10: 102 (010 1 00 04)	(R backward)	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim wider below	LY WID. Z
	Art[ad?.()]	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
16. 56 (118-P31-O4)	ARTE	3,5 x 1,6 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
	Arte()	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	
17. 47 (25-P22-O7)	ASCLEP	4,1 x 1,7 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
10 100 (700 D01 O1)	Asclep(ios?)	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim narrower below	LAMP O / DD O A
18. 106 (763-P31-O4)	ASCLEP Asclep(ios?)	3,8 x 1,5 cm h. lett. 1,1 cm	Central Rim narrower below	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
19. 134 (545-P31-O4)	ASCLEP (upside down)	3,8 x 1,6 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
19. 104 (040-1 01-04)	Asclep(ios?)	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim narrower below	LAWID. 27 DIT. OA
20. 79 (254-P31-O4)	ATTA (upside down)	4,5 x 1,2 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
	Atta(lus?)	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim narrower below	
21. 74 (253-P31-O4)	ATTA	4,7 x 1,8 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
	Atta(lus?)	h. lett. 1,2 cm	Rim wider below	
22. 61 (109-P31-O4)	ATTA	4,6 x 1,7 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
22 27 (442 224 24)	Atta(lus?)	h. lett. 1,4 cm	01-11-	LAMB
23. 87 (442-P31-O4)	AT[MVIL?T.]	3,1 x 1 cm	Shoulder	LAMB. 2
24. 103 (566-P31-O4)	A[] (upside down)	h. lett. 0,4 cm 3,8 x 1,5 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
24. 103 (300-131-04)	A[] (upside down)	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim narrower below	LAIVID. 27 DIT. OA
25. 160 (P12-O1)	BACHI (upside down)	3,8 x 1,6 cm	Above handles	LAMB. 2
	Bachi(lides?)	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim perpendicular	
26. 38 (1-P34-O4)	BASTO	4,5 x 1,4 cm	Ünknown	LAMB. 2
	Basto()	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	
27. 84 (195-P31-O4)	BERE	4,1 x 1,4	Unknown	LAMB. 2
00 10 (000 D05 O4)	Bere()	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim wider below	LAMP
28. 10 (368-P35-O4)	BERENT <i>Berent()</i>	4,1 x 1,3 h. lett. 0,7 cm	Above handle Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
29. 41 (59-P31-O4)	C[AE?]LI (backward)	3,9 x 1,8 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
<u>-0.</u> 71 (00-101-04)	O[AL:]LI (Dackwaiu)	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAIVID. 2
30. 150 (184-P33-O4)	C[M]AC[.]	4 x 1 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2
		h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim wider below	
31. 124 (817-P31-O4)	C.DIO	4,4 x 2,2 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
	C.Dio()	h. lett. 1,5 cm	Rim narrower below	
32. 15 (48-P35-O4)	DAMA	3,3 x 1,4 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
00 07 (40 004 0.0	Dama(s)	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim narrower below	LAMP 0/DD 04
33. 37 (12-P31-O4)	D[A]M[A]	3,8 x 1,9 cm h. lett. 1 cm	Above handle Rim narrower below	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
34. 93 (441-P31-O4)	<i>D[a]ma(s)</i> DAMA	3,4 x 1,4 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
0 1. 30 (14 1-1 31-04)			Uchial	LAMD. 4
1				
35. 70 (4-P35-O4)	<i>Dama(s)</i> DA[M]A	h. lett. 0,9 cm 4,2 x 1,2 cm	Rim perpendicular Central	LAMB. 2

Nr.	STAMP	DIMENSIONS	POSITION	AMPHORA TYPE
36. 9 (348-P35-O4)	DA[MA?] Da[ma(s)?]	5,1 x 1 cm h. lett. 0,8 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
37. 67 (128-P31-O4)	DASI Dasi(o)	2,7 x 1,5 cm h. lett. 1 cm	Unknown Rim narrower below	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
38. 18 (144-P35-	D[ASI]O	3,4 x 1,5 cm	Handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	D[asi]o	h. lett. 0,9 cm		
39. 50 (58-P33-O4)	D[ASI?]O	2,4 x 1,2 cm h. lett. 0,7 cm	Asymmetric Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
40. 147 (279-P33-O4)	DEBA (upside down) Deba()	4,9 x 1,4 cm h. lett. 0,9 cm	Above handle Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
41. 17 (50-P35-O4)	DELIČA	4,4 x 1,4 cm	At both handles	LAMB. 2
42. 31 (18-P34-O4)	Delica(tus) [DE]LICA	h. lett. 0,7 cm 4 x 1,3 cm	Handle	LAMB. 2
43 . 97 (327-P31-	[De]lica(tus) DEME (upside down)	h. lett. 0,7 cm 4,4 x 1,8 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 44. 153 (191-P33-	Deme() DEME (upside down)	h. lett. 1,1 cm 3,5 x 1,4 cm	Rim perpendicular Asymmetric	LAMB. 2
O4)	Deme()	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim perpendicular	
45. 109 (549-P31-O4)	DEMET (upside down) Demet(rius)	5,3 x 1,7 cm h. lett. 1,1 cm	Above handle Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
46. 120 (791-P31-O4)	DIOGEN (upside down) Diogen(es)	6 x 1,7 cm h. lett. 1 cm	Central Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
47. 20 (407-P35-	DION	3,4 x 1,5 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	Dion()	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAMD
48. 43 (453-P35- O4)	DIONYSIOS <i>Dionysios</i>	6 x 1,2 cm h. lett. 0,8 cm	Unknown Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
49. 101 (681-P31-	DIONYSIOS	5,8 x 1,1 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	Dionysios	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim wider below	LAMP
50. 144 (298-P33-O4)	DIONY[SI]OS <i>Diony[si]os</i>	6 x 1,2 cm h. lett. 0,7 cm	Unknown Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
51. 65 (104-P31-	DIO[NYSIOS]	7,5 x 1,6 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	Dio[nysios]	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim wider below	
52. 140 (215-P33-O4)	DIONYSOS (S backward)	4,3 x 1,3 cm h. lett. 0,6 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
	Dionys(i)os			
53. 57 (130-P31-O4)	DIPHILV[S] <i>Diphilu[s]</i>	6 x 1,6 cm h. lett. 0,8 cm	Unknown Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
54. 89 (497-P31-	D[I]PH[ILVS?]	3,7 x 1,6 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	(backward) <i>D[i]ph[ilus?]</i>	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim perpendicular	
55. 90 (496-P31-	ELP[I]DIO	3,7 x 2,1 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2
O4) 56. 105 (534-P31-	Elp[i]dio() [ELPI?]DIO (upside down)	h. lett. 1,4 cm 3,8 x 2,3 cm	Rim perpendicular Above handle	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	[Elpi?]dio()	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim narrower below	
57. 139 (125-P33-	EMCA	4,2 x 1,9 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4) 58 . 34 (15-P31-O4)	E[P]ICA[D]	h. lett. 1,1 cm 4,5 x 1,4 cm	Rim perpendicular Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
	E[p]ica[d(us)]	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim narrower below	
59. 82 (425-P31-	EVRIPID (D backward)	4,5 x 1,5 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 60 . 108 (753-P31-	Euripid(es) EVRIPID (D backward)	h. lett. 0,7 cm 4,5 x 1,5 cm	Rim perpendicular Asymmetric	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	Euripid(es)	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim narrower below	
61. 166 (790-P31-O4)	E[T?]	3,7 x 2 cm h. lett. 1 cm	Unknown Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
62 . 162 (1-P18-O1)	E[]	2,3 x 2,1 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
63. 7 (29-P7-O7)	(upside down) GAEPOR	h. lett. 1,2 cm 9,1 x 2,6 cm	Rim perpendicular Central	LAMB. 2
, ,	Gaepor(us)	h. lett. 2,2 cm	Rim wider below	
64. 33 (44-P31-O4)	HER[A]C <i>Her[a]c(lides?)</i>	4,7 x 1,7 cm h. lett. 1,2 cm	Above handle Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
65. 66 (105-P31-	HERAC	4,7 x 1,7 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4) 66. 98 (499-P31-	Herac(lides?) HERAC	h. lett. 1 cm 5,1 x 1,5 cm	Rim perpendicular Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	Herac(lides?)	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	
67. 104 (706-P31-	HERAC	4,6 x 1,7 cm	Asymmetric	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4) 68. 146 (201-P33-	Herac(lides?) LEON (upside down)	h. lett. 1,4 cm 3,9 x 1,3 cm	Rim narrower below Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	Leon()	h. lett. 0,6 cm	Rim wider below	25. 2
69 . 44 (13-P1-O1)	LICIN	3,4 x 1,1 cm	At both handles	LAMB. 2
331 11 (131 1 31)	Licin(us)	h. lett. 0,9 cm	, a sea. Harranso	

Nr.	STAMP	DIMENSIONS	POSITION	AMPHORA TYPE
70. 110 (509-P31-	LICIN	3,4 x 1,1 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4) 71. 42 (48-P33-O4)	Licin(us) LICINVS	h. lett. 0,9 cm 3,8 x 1,2 cm	Rim narrower below At both handles	LAMB. 2
, ,	Licinus	h. lett. 0,9 cm	At both handies	
72. 80 (367-P31-	LICINVS	4,1 x 1,2 cm	Handle	LAMB. 2
O4) 73 . 83 (421-P31-	<u>Licinus</u> L.VADI	h. lett. 0,8 cm 3,8 x 1,5 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	L. VADI	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim wider below	LAWD. 2
74. 3 (25-P7-O7)	MAHE[S] (backward,	3,6 x 1,7 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
	vertically) <i>Mahe[s]</i>	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim perpendicular	
75. 91 (309-P31-	[M]AHES	4,8 x 1,2 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	(E, S backward)	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim wider below	
76. 85 (419-P31-	<i>Mahe[s]</i> MA[]	2,8 x 1,8 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	MANY 1	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAWID. Z
77. 30 (10-P34-O4)	MATHIL	3,8 x 1,2 cm	Handle	LAMB. 2
78 . 102 (580-P31-	Mathil() MENO	h. lett. 0,4 cm 5 x 1,7 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	Meno()	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim wider below	LAWID. 2
79. 1 (31-P7-O7)	METROB[.]?	6 x 2 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
	(E, R, B backward) <i>Metrob[i(us)]?</i>	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	
80. 76 (240-P31-	MITRAE	5 x 1,7 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	Mitrae	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	
81. 133 (879-P31-	MITRAE <i>Mitrae</i>	5,8 x 2 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4) 82. 64 (102-P31-	ML[]	h. lett. 1,2 cm 4 x 1,3 cm	Rim narrower below Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)		h. lett. 1 cm	Rim narrower below	
83. 45 (70-P34-O4)	M[]S	3,5 x 1,2 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
84. 113 (657-P31-	M[]	h. lett. 0,4 cm 3,2 x 2,2 cm	Rim perpendicular Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)		h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	2, 11113. 2
85. 78 (398-P31-	NET[] (backward)	4,2 x 1,6 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 86. 100 (683-P31-	Net[] NIC (backward)	h. lett. 1 cm 3,7 x 1,9 cm	Rim perpendicular Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	Nic()	h. lett. 1,2 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAWID. 2
87. 112 (675-P31-	NIC (backward)	3,9 x 2 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 88 . 28 (19-P35-O4)	Nic() NICΨ°	h. lett. 1,4 cm 3,5 x 1,5 cm	Rim perpendicular Above handle	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
66. 26 (19-F 55-04)	Nic()	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim narrower below	LAMB. 27 DIT. 0 A
89. 77 (168-P31-	NICEPH	5 x 1,8 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 90. 121 (808-P31-	Niceph(orus) NICEPH	h. lett. 1 cm 5 x 1,8 cm	Rim perpendicular Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	Niceph(orus)	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	
91. 71 (107-P31-	NICEPH (upside down)	5 x 1,8 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 92 . 159 (P4-O2)	Niceph(orus) NICEPH	h. lett. 1 cm 5 x 1,8 cm	Rim perpendicular Unknown	LAMB. 2
92. 159 (P4-02)	Niceph(orus)	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAIVID. 2
93. 88 (472-P31-	NICE[PH]	3,5 x 1,8 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2
O4) 94. 73 (354-P31-	Nice[ph(orus)] NICEPH	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular Above handle	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
94. 73 (354-P31- O4)	Niceph(orus)	5,2 x 1,6 cm h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim narrower below	LAIVID. 2 / DR. 6 A
95. 95 (498-P31-	NICÌΨ	3,8 x 1,5 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	Nici(as)	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim narrower below	LAMP
96. 19 (229-P35-O4)	NICIA (upside down) <i>Nicia(s)</i>	4 x 1,1 cm h. lett. 0,8 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
97. 46 (72-P34-O4)	NICIA	2,8 x 1,2 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
	Nicia(s)	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim perpendicular	Overlat and t
98. 32 (6-P34-O4)	NICIA <i>Nicia(s)</i>	4,6 x 1,6 cm h. lett. 0,9 cm	Above handle Annular rim	Ovoid amphora
99. 4 (16-P7-O7)	NICO (upside down)	4,2 x 1,2 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
100 117 (701 501	Nico(laos?)	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim narrower below	LANADO
100. 117 (794-P31- O4)	ONE One(simus)	3,4 x 1,8 cm h. lett. 1 cm	Above handle Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
101 . 96 (500-P31-	P.ANT	3,8 x 1,6 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	B.1.4.1.4.=	h. lett. 1,1 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAMB OVER TO
102. 107 (762-P31-O4)	PHALLAE (upside down)	6 x 2 cm h. lett. 1,1 cm	Above handle Rim narrower below	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
	Phallae()	11. 10.11. 1,1 0111	Tilli liallower below	
103. 60 (114-P31-	PHALLAE	5,7 x 1,8 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	Phallae()	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim narrower below	

Nr.	STAMP	DIMENSIONS	POSITION	AMPHORA TYPE
104. 59 (115-P31-	PHAL[L]AE	5,7 x 1,6 cm	Asymmetric	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4) 105. 58 (110-P31-	<i>Phal[l]ae()</i> PH[ALLAE]	h. lett. 1 cm 5,7 x 1,7 cm	Rim narrower below Asymmetric	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	Ph[ALLAE] Ph[allae()]	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim narrower below	LAMB. 27 DR. 6 A
106. 94 (16-P25-	PHILI	4,1 x 1,3 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O7) 107 . 27 (295-P35-	Phili(ppus) PHILI	h. lett. 0,8 cm 4 x 1,3 cm	Rim wider below Unknown	LAMB. 2
O4)	Phili(ppus)	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim wider below	LAIVIB. 2
108. 62 (335-P31-	PHILI	3,9 x 1,5 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4) 109. 52 (79-P33-	Phili(ppus) PHILIP (upside down)	h. lett. 0,8 cm 5,3 x 1,8 cm	Rim wider below Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	Philip (upside down) Philip(pus)	h. lett. 1,2 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAIVID. 2
110. 170 (340-P35-	PHILIP	4 x 1,8 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 111. 48 (92-P33-	Philip(pus) [P]HILIP	h. lett. 0,9 cm 3,5 x 1,8 cm	Rim wider below Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	[P]hilip(pus)	h. lett. 1,2 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAWD. 2
112. 123 (514-P31-	PHIL[IP]	4,5 x 2,2 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4) 113. 2 (39-P7-O7)	<i>Phil[ip(pus)]</i> PHILIP	h. lett. 1 cm 5,8 x 1,8 cm	Rim perpendicular Central	LAMB. 2
113. 2 (39-67-07)	Philip(pus)	h. lett. 1,2 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAWID. 2
114. 51 (65-P33-	[P]HILIPVS	4,6 x 1,6 cm	Asymmetric	LAMB. 2
O4) 115. 129 (843-P31-	<i>[P]hilip(p)us</i> PHILO 	h. lett. 0,8 cm 4,2 x 1,1 cm	Rim perpendicular Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	Philo()	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim narrower below	LAWD. 27 Drt. 0 A
116. 131 (887-P31-	PHILO.	4,2 x 1,1 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4) 117. 81 (368-P31-	Philo() PLEO	h. lett. 0,7 cm 6 x 2,5 cm	Rim narrower below Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	(backward, upside down) Pleo()	h. lett. 1,5 cm	Rim perpendicular	
118 . 118 (756-P31-	[P]LEŎ	5 x 2,1 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	(backward, upside down) <i>Pleo()</i>	h. lett. 1,8 cm	Rim narrower below	
119. 136 (268-P33-	[P]ROT (R backward, upside down)	4,1 x 1,5 cm h. lett. 1,2 cm	Central Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
O4)	(Plrot()	n. iett. 1,2 cm	Hilli wider below	
120. 142 (208-P33-	P[] (vertically)	3,2 x 1,1 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 121. 13 (382-P35-	ROD♠	h. lett. 0,6 cm 3,5 x 1,4 cm	Rim perpendicular Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	Rod()	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim perpendicular	LY WID. 2
122. 68 (112-P31-	ROD 	3,7 x 1,4 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4) 123. 39 (1-P8-O1)	<i>Rod()</i> [R]OD ◆	h. lett. 0,8 cm 3 x 1,3 cm	Rim narrower below Above handle	LAMB. 2
		h. lett. 0,6 cm	Rim wider below	
124 . 151 (179-P33-	ROD <i>Rod()</i>	3,6 x 1,3 cm h. lett. 0,7 cm	Central Rim narrower below	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4) 125. 145 (270-P33-	ROD P	3,6 x 1,5 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	Rod()	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim narrower below	
126. 53 (76-P33-O4)	SABDA♣	4,4 x 1,4 cm h. lett. 1 cm	Asymmetric Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
127. 6 (28-P7-O7)	SABDIO	4,9 x 1,6 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
, ,	04114	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim wider below	LANADO
128. 5 (40-P7-O7)	SALVI <i>Salvi</i>	4,4 x 1,3 cm h. lett. 0,8 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
129. 24 (6-P2-O1)	SEX (S backward)	3 x 1,9 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
120 156 (014 000	Sex(tus) SOTIC	h. lett. 1,2 cm	Rim wider below Central	LAMB. 2
130. 156 (314-P33-O4)	SOTIC Sotic(us)	5 x 1,8 cm h. lett. 0,7 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAIVIB. 2
131. 128 (699-P31-	TIMOTH	4,5 x 2 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2
O4) 132. 75 (269-P31-	Timoth(eos) TRIT	h. lett. 0,8 cm 3,8 x 1,6 cm	Rim perpendicular Asymmetric	LAMB. 2
O4)	Trit()	h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAIVID. 2
133. 143 (234-P33-O4)	TRIT <i>Trit()</i>	4 x 1,6 cm h. lett. 1 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
134. 155 (153-P33-	TSP	2,8 x 1,6 cm	At both handles	LAMB. 2
O4) 135. 167 (795-P31-	VA[.]IT	h. lett. 0,8 cm 4 x 1,7 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	۷ ∪[۰]۱۱	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAIVID. 2
136. 135 (110-P33-O4)	ZENO Zeno(dotus?)	4 x 1,7 cm h. lett. 1,2 cm	Central Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
137. 29 (26-P33-	ZENO	3,8 x 1,7 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	Zeno(dotus?)	h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim wider below	

Nr.	STAMP	DIMENSIONS	POSITION	AMPHORA TYPE
138. 11 (373-P35-	ZOILI (backward)	3,5 x 1,1 cm	Shoulder	LAMB. 2 (submarine)
O4)	Zoili	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Onwhant	LAMP O / DD O A
139. 63 (343-P31-O4)	[B?]AR (R backward) [B?]ar()	3,5 x 1,7 cm h. lett. 1,2 cm	Central Rim narrower below	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
140. 126 (623-P31-	[D?]ABA	4,5 x 1,8 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)		h. lett. 1,2 cm	Rim narrower below	
141. 154 (6-P35-	[D?]ANT (upside down)	3,9 x 1,7 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 142. 12 (427-P35-	[D?]ant() [LIBON .] SABI	h. lett. 1,1 cm 7,4 x 1,8 cm	Rim perpendicular Handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	[2186](1.] 67(8)	h. lett. 1,4 cm	Hanaio	LY WIND. L
143. 137 (267-P33-	[L.]C[N.?] (N backward)	4,5 x 1,8 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 144. 114 (662-P31-	M[A?]E. [S]SIEN	h. lett. 1,2 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAMP
04)	MIA?JE. [S]SIEN	7 x 3 cm h. lett. 2 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
145. 36 (11-P31-	[MA?E.S]SIEN	8,7 x 2,7 cm	Asymmetric	LAMB. 2
O4)		h. lett. 1,7 cm	Rim perpendicular	
146. 116 (750-P31-O4)	[P?]INDAR <i>[P?]indar()</i>	5,4 x 1,6 cm h. lett. 0,8 cm	Above handle Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
147. 99 (647-P31-	[P]ONT[MI?] (backward,	4,2 x 1,5 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	upside down)	h. lett. 0,7 cm	Rim perpendicular	
148. 26 (12-P33-	[PO]NT[MI?]	4,3 x 1,1 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 149. 122 (830-P31-	(backward) [P?]ON[] (backward)	h. lett. 0,7 cm 3,8 x 1,2 cm	Rim wider below Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	[P?]on[]	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAWID. Z
150. 169 (818-P31-	[.]ALAV[C?]	4,6 x 1,7 cm	Handle	LAMB. 2
O4) 151. 86 (236-P31-	[.]ANY	h. lett. 1,1 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)	[.]ANY	3,5 x 1,7 cm h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAIVID. 2
152. 125 (517-P31-	[.]ANY	3,7 x 1,5 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)		h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	
153 . 23 (5-P2-O1)	[.]ATI	3,5 x 1,1 cm h. lett. 0,7 cm	Central Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
154. 165 (181-P33-	[.M]F[HI.]	4,5 x 1,7 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2
O4)		h. lett. 1 cm	Rim wider below	
156. 111 (793-P31-O4)	[]	3,7 x 1,4 cm h. lett. 0,9 cm	Unknown Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
157 . 8 (45-P7-O7)	[]I	0,5 x 1,5 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2
,		h. lett. 1 cm	Rim perpendicular	
158. 149 (252-P33-	illegible	6 x 1,5 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4) 159. 157 (166-P33-	illegible	h. lett. 1 cm 5,7 x 2,3 cm	Rim wider below Central	LAMB. 2
O4)		h. lett. 1,1 cm	Rim wider below	
160. 92 (487-P31-	illegible	4 x 2 cm	Unknown	LAMB. 2
O4) 161. 132 (104-P33-	illegible	h. lett. 1 cm 3,6 x 1,1 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	illegible	h. lett. 0,6 cm	Rim perpendicular	LAMD. 2
162. 72 (137-P31-	illegible	7 x 2,2 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	ille eilele	h. lett. 1,1 cm	Rim wider below	LAMP O/DD CA
163. 148 (165-P33-O4)	illegible	3,6 x 1,1 cm	Shoulder	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
164. 35 (22-P31-	illegible	4,3 x 1,2 cm	Central	LAMB. 2 / DR. 6 A
O4)	90 9 . 1		Rim narrower below	LAMP
165. 141 (272-P33-O4)	illegible	4,4 x 1,4 cm h. lett. 0,7 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
166. 127 (836-P31-	illegible	5,5 x 1,6 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	_		Rim wider below	
167 . 21 (412-P35-	illegible	3 x 1,3 cm	Central Rim perpendicular	LAMB. 2
O4)	illagibla	15,000	· ·	LAMP
168. 163 (33-P33- O4)	illegible	1,5 x 2,2 cm h. lett. 0,7 cm	Central Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
169. 164 (697-P31-	illegible	4,6 x 1,4 cm	Central	LAMB. 2
O4)	91 9. 1	h. lett. 0,8 cm	Rim wider below	LAMP
170. 168 (799-P31-O4)	illegible	4,5 x 1,3 cm h. lett. 0,6 cm	Above handle Rim wider below	LAMB. 2
171. 171 (693-P31-	illegible	3,4 x 2,2 cm	Above handle	LAMB. 2
O4)		h. lett. 0,9 cm	Rim perpendicular	